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## I. Data and Access

## DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that conta ins additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, a nd the state. Spec ific ally, Data Quest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g. Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP], test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English leamers.

## Intemet Access

Intemet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the Califomia Sta te Library). Access to the Intemet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## II. About This School

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

## School Profile

Esta blished in 1928, Mc Farland High School is part of the McFarland School District located in the small rural community of McFarland with a current population of approximately 15,000 . McFarland's motto is "The Heartbeat of Agric ulture" because of its major role in agricultural production of almonds, grapes, oranges, alfalfa, com, roses, cotton, and dairy cattle in which most families are employed. Despite the a gric ultural basis for most employment, the mobility rate remains low which creates a relatively stable community. However, with a high percentage of nonEnglish speaking families, with many experiencing extreme poverty, and in light of its geographic isolation devoid of resources and services, McFarland'schallenges continues. Approximately 3,200 students, K-12, are enrolled in the McFarland Unified School District, and McFarland High School educates 839 of these students. The school's ethnic diversity consists of $93 \%$ Hispanic and $7 \%$ others with $100 \%$ designated socio-economic ally disadvantaged and able to partic ipate in the free breakfast and lunch programs. In addition, $8 \%$ of McFa rland High School students receive migrant services. Since 31\% of these students are English Language Leamers; the school places an emphasis on accommodating limited Eng lish speaking students by offering Success a nd Intervention classes. In addition, the high school also offers innovative programssuch as AVID, a strong Agric ultural program, a strong AP program, and a partnership with The College Board and Paramount Farming. McFarla nd High School is in yeartwo of participation in Program Improvement.
McFarland High School's Vision and Mission
The mission of McFarland High School is to accelerate student performance through great teaching and lea ming, and to provide each student with the optimal academic, artistic, athletic, and social opportunitiesto ensure that leaming takes place through effective allocation of available resources.
McFarland High School is committed to educational quality, with emphasis on the following:

- A positive learning environment that is safe, drug free, and conducive to learning.
- Measurable student achievement with the end goal being student subject proficiency in reading, writing, history, math, and science.
- Utilization of standards-based materials for instruction and fidelity to adopted courses of studies.
- Ensuring equal access to core curriculum by all students.
- Instruction following district guidelines as well as state standards.
- Improving accountability by gathering data of subgroup populations and using that data to drive instruction.
- Respect and appreciation for each individual.
- Intellectual, physical, and emotional growth.
- Academic and social development.
- Goal oriented focus-preparing for a meaningful, productive future.
- Positive self-image through awareness and adoption of healthy lifestyles.
- High standards of personal integrity and responsibility.
- Parental involvement and in student progress through meaningful and regular partnership opportunities.


## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about opportunities for parents to become involved with school activities, including contact information perta ining to organized op portunities for parental involvement.
McFarland High School offers many opportunities for parents to become involved with school activities, including:

- School Site Council
- ELAC Parent Meetings
- DELAC Parent Meetings
- Back-To-School Night
- Open House
- Parent/Teacher Conference Night
- AVID (Advancement Via Individual Determination) Parent Meetings
- College Board 2nd semester Monthly Parent Meetings
- College Night Parent/Student
- 9th Grade Enrollment Parent/Student Conferences
- 9th Grade Parent/Student Orientation
- Sophomore Counseling Parent Conferences
- Financial Aid Workshops
- Career Center Senior Parent Financial Aid Conferences
- Career Day
- Awards Banquets
- Agricultural Advisory Committee (FFA activities)
- Field Trips - Chaperon
- Extra-Curricular and Athletic Events
- Parent Conferences as requested by parent/teacher
- Parent portal on Infinite Campus

The school has just begun a new parent support group that has elected officers and is in the process of determinng what their role and focus will be as a group. So far it is small but enthusiastic.

## Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

| Grade level | Number of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| Grade 9 | 208 |
| Grade 10 | 242 |
| Grade 11 | 260 |
| Grade 12 | 170 |
| Total Enrollment | 880 |
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## Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2009-10)

Thistable displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particulargroup.

| Group | Percent of Total <br> Enrollment | Group | Percentof Total <br> Enrollment |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Black or African American | 0.004 | White | 1.8 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | Two or More Races | 0.002 |
| Asian | 0.002 | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 100 |
| Flipino | 0 | English Leamers | 32 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 98.2 | Students with Disabilities | 9 |
| Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander | 0 |  |  |

## Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Thistable displays, by subject area, the a verage class size a nd the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

| Subject | 2007-08 |  |  |  | 2008-09 |  |  |  | 2009-10 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg. Class Sze | Number of Classrooms |  |  | Avg. Class Sze | Number of Classiooms |  |  | Avg. Class Sze | Number of Classiooms |  |  |
|  |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |
| English | 21 | 26 | 26 | 2 | 27 | 12 | 25 | 8 | 26 | 25 | 15 | 8 |
| Mathematics | 25 | 15 | 20 | 8 | 26 | 7 | 25 | 5 | 26 | 12 | 19 | 1 |
| Science | 29 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 28 | 2 | 19 | 1 | 25 | 6 | 16 | 1 |
| Social Science | 25 | 4 | 17 | 2 | 31 | 1 | 10 | 9 | 29 | 3 | 13 | 7 |

## III. School C limate

## School Safety Plan (Sc hool Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.
In orderto ensure the safety of students and staff, McFarland High School reviewed the former comprehensive school safety plan outlining appropriate proceduresfor students, teachers, office staff, and administration using the Stand a rdized Emergency Management System (SEMS) and National Inc ident Management System (NIMS) plans as a guideline. The plan needed to updated since a new two-story classroom building wascompleted and classes began in it. The areas covered in the Safe School Program include district and site communication systems, school site personnel duties and responsibilities, composition and role of the crisis intervention team, site communication procedures, pupil release and evacuation procedures, parent notific ation and responsibilities, and specific emergency/disaster procedures. Local emergency a gencies including Sheriff, Fire Department, Ambulance, and Police have access to school/campus map, emergency exits and fire alarm system. McFa rla nd High School revised the fire drill, school safety plan a nd evacuation procedures using a district wide school plan. Following the revisions, the Administration will work on the implementation process of the plan. The plan allows substitute tea chers to easily follow safety regulations at any of the five schools. The school randomly conducts emergency fire and earthqua ke drills. The MUSD partic ipated in a county wide Ea rthquake Disaster Drill. McFarland High School's staff, administration, a nd students feel our campus is safe, orderly, friendly and as a result, enhances leaming. The administration, counselors, teachers, and the staff make contact with parents regarding disc ipline, attendance, and student-rela ted concems. A campus supervisor assists administrators a nd staff in monitoring the campus to assure safety, investigating incidents as necessary, and maintaining security of the school site.
Mcfarland High School Expulsion, Suspension, and Truancy Information for 2009-2010 can be found at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/

## Suspensions and Expulsions

Thistable displays the rate of suspensions a nd expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

| Rate | School |  |  |  |  | Distict |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}-\mathbf{0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}-\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}-09$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ |
| Suspensions | 28.5 | 13.4 | 10.4 | 18.3 | 21.3 | 32.6 |
| Expulsions | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.4 |

## IV. School Facilities

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, a nd restrooms based on the most recent data available, and a desc ription of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

The facilities at McFarland High School are safe, clean, and well maintained. The most recent Williams Act visit, resulted in a compliance statistic of $98.01 \%$ on building issues. What deficienc ies they found were quickly remediated. Due to changes in school policies regarding students out of class on hall passes, the restroomsand the campus in general are being maintained everyday at a much high level. The school recently completed a new 2 -story classroom building which houses 9 classrooms including 2 science labs and 2 computer labs. Two of the rooms are still incomplete because of a change order to pipe gas into the science lab. All the rooms should be in use in the nearfuture. The rooms are large and equipped with the latest in technology. It is a beautiful addition to the campus.

## School Facility Good Repair Status. (School Year 2010-11)

Thistable displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

| Inspected On: 10/ 18/2010 | Repair Status |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Inspected | Exemplary | Good | Fair | Poor | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
| Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |  |
| Interior: Interior Surfaces | - | $\theta$ | - | - |  |
| Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation | - | $+$ | - | - |  |
| Eectrical: Eectrical | - | $\theta$ | - | - | Cords needed replacing. Work done. Electrical panel blocked. Work done. |
| Restrooms/ Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains | - | $\downarrow$ | - | - |  |
| Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | - | $\theta$ | - | - |  |
| Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - | Concete is uneven. Some was shaved off. Some wasreplaced. |
| Extemal: Playground/ School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/ Gates/Fences | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |  |
| Overall Rating | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |  |

## V. Teachers

## Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/.

| Teachers | School |  |  | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teachers with Full Credential | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ | $2009-10$ | $2009-10$ |
| Teachers without Full Credential | 27 | 31 | 37 | 151 |
| Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence | 10 | 6 | 1 | 1 |

## Teacher Misassignments and Vac ant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misa ssignments (teachers assigned without proper legal a uthorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Tea cher Misa ssignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of Eng lish Leamers.

| Indicator | $2008-09$ | $2009-10$ | $2010-11$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Misassignments of Teachers of English Leamers | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by Highly Qualified Teachers as defined in the Elementary and Secondary Educ ation Act (ESEA), in a school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of a pproximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student eligibility of a pproximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualific ations required under the ESEA can be found on the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/.

| Location of Classes | Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thught by Highly Qualified Teachers | Not Taught by Highly Qualfied |
| Teachers |  |

## V. SupportStaff

## Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (Sc hool Year 2009-10)

This table displays, in units of full-time equiva lents (FIE), the number of a cademic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the a verage number of students per academic counselor. One FIE equals one staff member working full time; one FIE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

| Tite | Number of FIE Assigned to School | Average Number of Students perAcademic Counselor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Counselor | 2 | 414 |
| Counselor (Social/ Behavioral or Career Development | 2 | N/A |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian) | 1 | N/A |
| Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) | 0.5 | N/A |
| Psychologist | 0.4 | N/A |
| Social Worker | 0 | N/A |
| Nurse | 1 | N/A |
| Speech/ Language/ Hearing Specialist | 0.4 | N/A |
| Resource Specialist (non - teaching) | 0 | N/A |
| Other | 5 | N/A |

## VIl. Cuniculum and Instructional Materials

## Description of school's program towards meeting William's Settement Requirements

With the assistance of QES Consultants new Language Arts curic ulum was selected that met all of the Willia ms requirements as the ELD and Intervention needs of the students. Every student has a textbook for each class (where applicable) and hasaccessto a classroom set of the same textbooks. That facilitates homework without requiring students to camy multiple la rge textbooks in their backpack. The committee from the Willia ms visit found no defic ienc ies in our c uric ulum/textbook offerings.

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, a nd availability of the standards-a ligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school; whether the textbooks a nd instructional materials are from the most recent adoption (yes/no); and information about the school'suse of a ny supplemental curic ulum or nonadopted textbooks or instructional materials.

## Core Curiculum Area

Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials

Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and/or Instructional Materials

Most RecentSBE orlocal
Goveming Agency Approved Textbooks and Instructional Materials

| Reading/Language Arts | English 9CP/Success <br> Pearson, Leterature for California 2010 Gr. 9 <br> Pearson, Language Central 2010 <br> Pearson, Reality Central <br> Anthology, 2010 <br> English 9 Honors <br> Pearon, Literature for <br> California, 2010, Gr. 9 <br> Pearson, Language Central <br> 2010 <br> Pearson Reality Central <br> Anthology 2010 <br> English 10 CP <br> Pearson, Literature for <br> California 2010, Gr. 10 <br> Pearson, Language <br> Central, 2010 <br> Pearson Reality Central <br> Anthology, 2010 <br> English 10 Honors <br> Pearson, Literature for <br> California, 2010 <br> Pearson, Language <br> Central, 2010 <br> Pearson, Reality Central <br> Anthology 2010 <br> English 11 CP <br> Pearson, Literature for <br> California, 2010, Gr. 11 <br> AP English Language <br> Bedford/St. Martins, The <br> Language of Composition, <br> First Edition <br> English 12 CP <br> Pearson, Literature for <br> California, 2010, Gr. 12 <br> AP English Literature 12 <br> Cengage Learning, <br> Perrine's Sound and Sense, <br> 13th Ed. 2010 <br> Academiy English <br> Hampton-Brown. Inside the USA 2009 <br> Intervention F <br> Hampton-Brown, Edge, <br> Fundamentals, 2008 <br> Intevention A-1 <br> Hampton-Brown, Edge <br> Level A, 2008 <br> Intervention A-2 <br> Hampton-Brown, Edge, <br> Level A, 2008 <br> Intervention B1 <br> Hampton-Brown, Edge, Evel <br> B, 2008 | 0 | The Pearson textbooks listed above were adoped for the 2010-2011 school year. Those are used forthe core college prep classes. For the Intervention classes, the Ha mpton-Brown, Edge materials were newly adoped by the Board. Teachers were tra ined in the use of all these materials. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| Core Curic ulum Area | Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials | Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and/or Instructional Materials | Most Recent SBE or Local Goveming Agency Approved Textbooks and Instructional Materials |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics | Consumer Math: <br> Glencoe McGraw-Hill, Mathematics for Business and Personal Finance, 2010 <br> Algebra I/CP/ Success Prentice Hall, Ca. Algebra 1, 2009 <br> Geometry CP: <br> Prentice Hall, Ca. <br> Geometry, 2008 <br> Algebra II: <br> Prentice Hall, Ca. Algebra <br> 2, 2008 <br> Pre Calculus: <br> Prentice Hall, Precalculus, <br> 4th Ed., 2010 <br> AP Calculus: <br> Prentice Hall, Calculus: <br> Graphical, Numerical, <br> Algebraic, 2007 <br> CAHSEE: <br> American Book Co., California Math Review, 2003 | 0 | See above. |


| Core Curic ulum Area | Quality, Curency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials | Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and/or Instructional Materials | Most Recent SBE or Local Goveming Agency Approved Textbooks and Instructional Materials |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Science | Earth Science: <br> Glencoe McGraw Hill, Earth <br> Science, 2007 <br> Chemistry CP: <br> Glencoe McGraw Hill, <br>  <br> Change, 2007 <br> AP Chemistry: <br> McDougal Littell, <br> Chemistry , 7th Ed., <br> Zumdah, 2007 <br> Biology CP: <br> Prentice Hall, Biology, 2007 <br> Physics: <br> Glencoe McGraw Hill, <br> Physics, Principles and <br> Problems, 2008 <br> Ag Science I: <br> Glencoe McGraw Hill, Earth <br> Science, 2007; <br> Delmar Publishers, <br> Agriscience: Fundamentals and Applications, 2nd Ed., <br> 2005 <br> Ag Science Biology: <br> Prentice Hall, Biology, 2007; <br> Prentice Hall, Biology: <br> Laboratory Manual, 2007; <br> Delmar Publishers, <br> Agriscience: Fundamentals and Applications, 2nd Ed., <br> 1998 <br> AP Biology <br> Pearson, Biology, 8th AP <br> Edition 2008 | 0 | See above. |
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| Core Curic ulum Area | Quality, Curency, and Availability of Textoooks and Instructional Materials | Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and/or Instructional Materials | Most RecentSBE orlocal Goveming Agency Approved Textbooks and Instructional Materials |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| History-Social Science | World History CP McDougal Littell, Modern World History: Patterns of Interaction, 2006 <br> World History AP <br> MPS, Ways of the World: A Global History, 2011 <br> U.S. History CP: McGraw Hill, American Vision, 2006 <br> U.S. History Honors: McGraw Hill, American Vision, 2006 <br> American Government CP: Prentice Hall, Magruder's American Government, 2006 <br> Ag Government: McGraw Hill, Magruder's American Democracy, 8th Ed., 2006 <br> AP Government McGraw Hill, American Democracy, 8th Ed. 2006 <br> Economics: <br> Prentice Hall, Economics: <br> Principles in Action, 2007 | 0 | See above. |
| Foreign Language | Spanish I Native Speaker \& I Non Native Speaker: McDougal Littell, En Espanol Level 1, CA Ed., 2004 <br> Spanish II Native Speaker \& II Non Native Speaker: McDougal Littell, En Espanol Level 2,CA Ed., 2004 <br> AP Spanish Language: McDougal Littell, En Espanol, Level 3, CA Ed., 2004 | 0 | See above. |
| Health | Health: <br> Glencoe McGraw Hill, Health, A Guide to Wellness, 8th Ed., 2003 | 0 | See above. |


| Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12) | Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12) <br> Sufficient Laboratory <br> Equipment is available for <br> Ag Science I, Ag Science II, <br> Ag Biology, Biology, Physics and Chemistry classes. <br> Inventory includes: <br> Microscopes (10) <br> Petrie Dishes (100) <br> Scales (1) <br> Dissection Kits (20) <br> Graduated Cylinders (10) <br> Dissection Pans (10) <br> Test Tubes (50) <br> Dell Laptops (24) <br> Lab refrigerator (1) <br> Dissection tools (115) <br> Beakers (90) <br> Human skeleton (1) <br> Test tubes (150) <br> Dissection trays (20) <br> Microscopes (40) <br> Meter sticks (12) <br> Laserdisc players (2) <br> Tongs (1) <br> Petrie dishes (175) <br> Animal Skeletons (8) <br> Magnifying glasses (20) <br> Computers (2) <br> Grow cabinets (2) <br> Microscope slides (200) <br> VCR (1) <br> Centrifuge (1) <br> Microscope cameras (2) <br> Tables (5) <br> Student computers (6) <br> 8 each of the following: <br> 50 mL Beaker <br> Electronic balance <br> 100 mL Beaker <br> Mortar \& pestle <br> 250mL Beaker <br> Evaporating dish <br> $400 \mathrm{~mL} / 600 \mathrm{~mL}$ Beaker <br> Crucible \& cover <br> 125mL Erlenmeyer flask <br> Glass plate <br> 250mL Erlenmeyer flask <br> Medicine dropper <br> 10 mL graduated cylinder <br> Dropper bottle <br> 100mL graduated cylinder <br> Stirring rod <br> Test tube holder <br> Test tube brush <br> Beaker tongs <br> Beaker brush <br> Scoopulas | 0 | See above. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12) | Test tubes \& rack <br> Microplate <br> Bunsen burner \& tubing <br> Funnel <br> Hot Plate <br> Thermometer <br> Test tube clamp <br> Forceps <br> Clamp Holder <br> Plastic beaker set <br> Thermometer clamp <br> Volumetric Flask <br> Pinchers <br> Goggles <br> Aprons <br> Sink \& running water | 0 | See above. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Visual and Performing Arts | Glencoe: Music! Its Role and Importance in Our Lives, 2000 | 0 | See above. |

## VIII. School Finances

## Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a compa rison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teachersalaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found on the CDE Current Expense of Education \& Per-pupil Spending Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/ and teacher sala riescan be found on the CDE Certific ated Salaries \& Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

| Level | Total <br> Expenditures <br> Per Pupil | Expenditures Per <br> Pupil <br> (Supplemental/ <br> Resticted) | Expenditures <br> Per Pupil (Basic/ <br> Unrestricted) | Average <br> Teacher Salary |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Site | $\$ 5,887.60$ | $\$ 797.14$ | $\$ 5,090.46$ | $\$ 79,343.39$ |
| District | N/A | N/A | $\$ 9,452.47$ | $\$ 58,714.00$ |
| Percent Difference - School Site and District | N/A | N/A | -0.46 | 0.35 |
| State | N/A | N/A | $\$ 5,681.00$ | $\$ 61,706.00$ |
| Percent Difference - School Site and State | N/A | N/A | -0.1 | 0.29 |

## Types of Services Funded (Fisc al Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services (e.g., information about supplemental educational senvices related to the school's federal Program Improvement status) that are provided at the school through either categoric al funds or other sources.

## Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This table displays district salaries for tea chers, principals, and superintendents, and comparesthese figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, a nd comparesthese figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Detailed information regarding sala ries may be found on the CDE Certific ated Salaries \& Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

| Category | DistrictAmount | State Average For Districts In <br> Same Category |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Teacher Salary | $\$ 40,811$ | $\$ 38,970$ |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | $\$ 60,058$ | $\$ 59,776$ |
| Highest Teacher Salary | $\$ 76,461$ | $\$ 78,072$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Bementary) | $\$ 99,448$ | $\$ 94,605$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Middle) | $\$ 101,934$ | $\$ 98,480$ |
| Average Principal Salary (High) | $\$ 107,095$ | $\$ 106,266$ |
| Superintendent Salary | $\$ 132,613$ | $\$ 144,721$ |
| Percent of Budget forTeacher Salaries | 50 |  |
| Percent of Budget forAdministrative Salaries | 4 |  |

## IX. Student Performance

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Sta nda rdized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the Califomia Standards Tests (CSTs); the Califomia Modified Assessment (CMA), and the Califomia Altemate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The assessments under the STAR program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA and mathematic sin grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with signific a nt cognitive disabilities whose disa bilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modific ations or the CMA with a ccommodations. The CMA is an altemate assessment that is ba sed on modified a chievement standards in ELA for grades three through nine, mathematic sforgrades three through seven and Algebra Iand science in grades five, eight, and ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disa bilities preclude them from achieving grade-level profic iency on an a ssessment of the Califomia content standards with or without ac commodations. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE Sta ndardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the Explaining 2009 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/sr/documents/pkt5intrpts09.pdf. Note: Sc ores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either bec a use the number of students in this category is too small for sta tistic al accuracy or to protectstudent privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students a chieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

| Subject | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 |
| English-Language Arts | 29 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 29 | 46 | 50 | 52 |
| Mathematics | 12 | 7 | 13 | 27 | 29 | 37 | 43 | 46 | 48 |
| Science | 23 | 24 | 22 | 23 | 25 | 21 | 46 | 50 | 54 |
| History-Social Science | 22 | 25 | 31 | 19 | 22 | 32 | 36 | 41 | 44 |

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Thistable displays the percent of students, by group, a chieving at the Profic ient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

| Group | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Englishlanguage Arts | Mathematics | Science | History-Social Science |
| All Students in the IEA | 29 | 47 | 21 | 30 |
| All Students at the School | 26 |  | 22 | 30.5 |
| Male | 23 | 16 | 27 | 33 |
| Female | 29 | 10 | 17 | 29 |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * |
| American Indian orAlaska Native | * | * | * | * |
| Asian | * | * | * | * |
| Flipino | * | * | * | * |
| Hispanic or Latino | 26 | 13 | 21 | 30 |
| Native Hawailian or Pacific Islander | * | * | * | * |
| White | 23 | 8 | * | * |
| Two orMore Races | * | * | * | * |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 26 | 13 | 22 | 31 |
| English Leamers | 3 | 6 | 5 | 8 |
| Students with Disabilities | 2 | 7 | 17 | 11 |
| Students Receiving Migrant Educ ation Senvices | 19 | 15 | 13 | 28 |

## Califomia High School Exit Examination

The Califomia High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are a lso used to establish the percentages of students at three profic iency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics in order to compute Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) designations as required by the federal NCLB Act of 2001. Deta iled information regarding CAHSEE results can be found at the CDE C a lifomia High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) Web site at
http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/. Note: Sc ores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in thiscategory is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall a ny group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of a ny individual student.

## Califomia High School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Ten Students - Three-Year Comparison (if applicable)

This table displays the percent of students a chieving at the Proficient orAdvanced level in ELA and mathematics.

| Subject | 2007-08 |  |  |  | 2008-09 |  |  |  | 2009-10 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | District | State | School | Distict | State | School | District | State |  |  |
| English-Language <br> Arts | 31.6 | 28.3 | 52.9 | 31.6 | 28.3 | 52.9 | 34.4 | 33.5 | 54 |  |  |
| Mathematics | 34.4 | 29.8 | 51.3 | 34.4 | 29.8 | 51.3 | 27 | 26.1 | 53.4 |  |  |

Califomia High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year (if applicable)

Thistable displays the percent of students, by group, a chieving at each performance level in ELA and mathematics for the most recent testing period.

| Group | English-Language Arts |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not Proficient | Proficient | Advanced | Not Proficient | Proficient | Advanced |
| All Students in the IEA | 65.6 | 21.4 | 12.9 | 73 | 22.1 | 4.9 |
| All Students at the School | 65.6 | 21.4 | 12.9 | 73 | 22.1 | 4.9 |
| Male | 68.9 | 19.4 | 11.7 | 64.4 | 27.9 | 7.7 |
| Female | 62.8 | 23.1 | 14 | 80.3 | 17.2 | 2.5 |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| American Indian orAlaska Native | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Asian | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Flipino | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Hispanic or Latino | 66.4 | 21.7 | 12 | 74 | 21 | 5 |
| Native Hawailian or Pacific Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| White | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Two orMore Races | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Socioec onomic ally Disadvantaged | 65.6 | 21.4 | 12.9 | 73 | 22.1 | 4.9 |
| English Leamers | 76.3 | 17.1 | 6.6 | 84.3 | 14.4 | 1.3 |
| Students with Disabilities | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Senvices | 73.3 | 20 | 6.7 | 80 | 20 | 0 |

## Califomia Physical Finess Test Results (School Year 2009-10)

The Califomia Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in gradesfive, seven, and nine only. This ta ble displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE Physical Fitness Testing Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/. Note: Scoresare not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either bec ause the number of students in this category is too small for statistic al accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall a ny group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of a ny individual student.

| Grade level | Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Four of Six <br> Standards | Five of Sx <br> Standards | Six of Six <br> Standards |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 21.2 | 23.8 | 20.4 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 22 | 30.6 | 17.6 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 26.1 | 21.2 | 16.8 |

## X. Accountability

## Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in Califomia. API scores range from 200 to 1,000 , with a statewide target of 800 . Deta iled information about the API can be found at the CDE Academic Performance Index (API) Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

## Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school hasan API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API sc ore in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 sta tistic ally matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's a cademic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 meansthat the school'sacademic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

| API Rank | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statewide | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Similar Schools | 7 | 8 | 3 |

## Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years. Note: N/A means that the student group is not numeric ally signific ant or data were not a vailable.

| Group | Actual API Change |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ | $2009-10$ |
| All Students at the School | 23 | -29 | 52 |
| Black or Afric an American | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| Americ an Indian or Alaska Native | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| Asian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| Filipino | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | 26 | -28 | 42 |
| Native Hawailan or Pacific Islander | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| White | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| Two or More Races | $*$ | -27 | 0 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 22 | 0 | 52 |
| English Leamers | 3 | $*$ | 25 |
| Students with Disabilities | $*$ |  | $*$ |

## Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2010 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the 2010 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

| Group | 2010 Growth APi |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | IFA | State |
| All Students at the School | 658 | 679 | 767 |
| Black or Afric an American | $*$ | $*$ | 685 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $*$ | $*$ | 728 |
| Asian | $*$ | $*$ | 889 |
| Filipino | $*$ | $*$ | 851 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 646 | 675 | 715 |
| Native Hawailian or Pacific Islander | $*$ | $*$ | 754 |
| White | $*$ | $*$ | 838 |
| Two or More Races | $*$ | $*$ | 807 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 658 | 679 | 712 |
| English Leamers | $*$ | 639 | 691 |
| Students with Disabilities |  | 478 | 580 |

## Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

## Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2009-10)

Thistable displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall a nd whether the school a nd the district met each of the six AYP criteria desc ribed above.

| AYP Criteria | School | District |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Overall | No | No |
| Participation Rate - English-Language Arts | Yes | Yes |
| Participation Rate - Mathematics | Yes | Yes |
| Percent Proficient- English-Language Arts | No | No |
| Percent Proficient - Mathematics | No | No |
| API | No | Yes |
| Graduation Rate | Yes | Pend |

## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2010-11)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering Pl , schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

| Indicator | School | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Program Improvement Status | In PI | In PI |
| First Year of Program Improvement | $2009-2010$ | 2004-2005 |
| Year in Program Improvement | Year2 | Year 3 |
| Number of Schools Curently in Program Improvement | N/A | 4 |
| Percent of Schools Curently in Program Improvement | N/A | 80 |

## XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

## Admission Requirements for Califomia Public Universities

## University of Califomia

Admission requirements for the University of Califomia (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students a re adequately prepared for University-level work. For general admissions requirements please visit the General Admissions Information Web page at http://www.universityofc alifomia .edu/admissions/general.html.

## Califomia State University

Admission requirements for the Califomia State University (CSU) use three factorsto determine eligibility. They are spec ific high school courses; grades in specified courses a nd test scores; and graduation from high school. Some campuses have higher standards for partic ular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higherstandards (supplementary admission criteria) for a ll applic ants. Most CSU campuses utilize local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historic ally served by a CSU campus in that region. For general admissions requirements please visit the Califomia State University Web page at http://www.calstate.edu/SAS/admreq.shtml.

## Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

This table displays the school's one-yeardropout rates a nd graduation rates for the most recent three-year period for which data are available. For comparison puposes, data are also provided at the district and state levels. Detailed information about dropout rates and graduation ratescan be found on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/.

| Indicator | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2006-07$ | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ | $2006-07$ | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ | $2006-07$ | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ |
| Dropout Rate (1-year) | 3 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 16 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 4.5 |
| Graduation Rate | 93 | 87 | 83.5 | 76 | 71 | 55.9 | 81 | 80 | 78.6 |

## Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

This table displays, by student group, the percent of students who began the 2009-10 school year in grade twelve and were a part of the school's most recent graduating class who met all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

| Group | Graduating Class of 2010 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | District | State |
| All Students |  |  | N/A |
| Black or African American | 0.76 |  | N/A |
| Americ an Indian or Alaska Native | 0 |  | N/A |
| Asian | 0.2 |  | N/A |
| Filipino | 0 |  | N/A |
| Hispanic or Latino | 88.02 |  | N/A |
| Native Hawailan/ Pacific Islander | 0 |  | N/A |
| White | 11.48 |  | N/A |
| Socioec onomically Disadvantaged | 95 |  | N/A |
| English Leamers | 34 |  | N/A |
| Students with Disabilities | 0.03 |  | N/A |
| Two orMore Races | 0 |  | N/A |

## CareerTechnical Educ ation Programs (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the CareerTechnical Education (CTE) programs and lists programs offered by the school district that are aligned to the model curiculum standards. The list should identify courses conducted by regional occupational centers or programs and those conducted directly by the school district. This section provides a listing of the primary representative of the careertechnicaladvisory committee of the school district and industries represented.

In addition to vocational classes offered on campus, McFarland High School students have access to the North Kern Vocational Training Center (NKVTC) located adjacent to the Delano High School campus. NKVTC provides occupational training primarily to junior and senior high school students. In addition to formal classroom instruction, NKVTC works with local businesses to provide students with on-the-job training through the community classroom concept. Many of our students participate in the nursing program at Delano Regional Medical Center through NKVTC where teachers/counselors also assist the students with job placement. Programs offered include:

- Ag Mechanics (On Campus)
- Auto Body/Fender Repair
- Auto Tech
- Banking
- Child Development (On Campus)
- Computer Accounting
- Computer Aided Drafting
- Computer Graphics (On Campus)
- Computer Tech
- Construction
- Co-Operative Learning
- Cosmetology
- Health Career
- Marketing/Merchandising
- Nursing Assistant
- Office Occupation
- Receptionist Clerk
- Restaurant Careers
- Security \& Law Enforcement
- Video Production (On Campus)
- Welding


## Career Technical Educ ation Participation (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays information about participation in the school's CTE programs.

| Measure | CTE Program Participation |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of pupils partic ipating in CTE | 41 |
| Percent of pupils completing a CTE program and eaming a <br> high school diploma | 91 |
| Percent of CTE courses sequenced or artic ulated between <br> the school and institutions of postsecondary education | 0 |

## Courses for University of Califomia and/ or Califomia State University Admission (School Year 2008-09)

Thistable displays, for the most recent yeartwo measures related to the school'scourses that are required for University of Califomia (UC) and/or Califomia Sta te University (CSU) admission. Deta iled information about student enrollment in, and completion of, courses required for UC/CSU admission can be found at the CDE Web site at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/.

| UC/CSU Course Measure | Percent |
| :--- | :---: |
| Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission | 87 |
| Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission | 44 |

## Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays, for the most recent year, the number of Advanced Placement (AP) courses that the school offered by subject and the percent of the school's students enrolled in all AP courses. Deta iled information about student enrollment in AP courses can be found on the DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/.

| Subject | Number of AP Courses <br> Offered | Percent of Sudents In AP <br> Courses |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Computer Science | 0 | N/A |
| English | 2 | N/A |
| Fine and Performing Arts | 0 | N/A |
| Foreign Language | 1 | N/A |
| Mathematics | 1 | N/A |
| Science | 1 | N/A |
| Social Science | 1 | N/A |
| All courses | 6 |  |

## XII. Instuctional Planning and Scheduling

## Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.
McFarland High School is committed to offering professional development opportunities for teachers and staff. The district and the site coordinate efforts to provide staff development. Every Wednesday, Mc Farland High has a minimum day to allow for staff meetings with time devoted to WASC, Sta nda rds Alignment, Teaching Strategies, English Language Development Strategies, Student Data Analysis, Advisory, and Staff Development. All tea chers are required to take SB 472 training. The school works closely with consultantsfrom QES that includes a lead consultant, a math consultant, an English consultant, and administrative as well asdata consultant as needed. In addition the administration participates in DAITtraining along with the head of the Site Council.
In addition, there are three non-student days scheduled into the school yearto have more in-depth work in department and with the consultants. The focus of much of the inservice is working with data generated by benchmark tests, departmental data, and schoolwide data. The outcome of these sessions is to assess current practices and modify strategies to improve student success.

