# Horizon Elementary School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year <br> Published During 2016-17 

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.


## DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

## Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

| School Contact Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| School Name | Horizon Elementary School |
| Street | 800 S. Garzoli Avenue |
| City, State, Zip | McFarland, CA 93250 |
| Phone Number | (661) 792-0003 |
| Principal | Matthew Roderick |
| E-mail Address | maroderick@mcfarland.k12.ca.us |
| Web Site | http://mcfarlandusd.com/HES/ |
| CDS Code | 15739080133504 |

District Contact Information

| District Name | McFarland Unified School District |
| :--- | :--- |
| Phone Number | (661) 792-3081 |
| Superintendent | Victor Hopper |
| E-mail Address | vhopper@mcfarland.k12.ca.us |
| Web Site | www.mcfarlandusd.com |

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

Horizon is a TK-5 elementary school that opened its doors to students on August 8, 2016. The student population as of September 2016 is 513. The school has a total of 20 certificated teachers including a mild to moderate special education teacher, a principal, a learning director, a part time counselor, a part time psychologist, and a part time speech therapist. We have 1 instructional aide and a library technician. Horizon also benefits from a district technology coach who works directly with teachers to support the integration of technology into lessons.

## Vision

We will help our students become confident, responsible, and productive citizens by providing a nurturing environment and a high quality, well-rounded, and innovative education.

## Mission

We will maintain continual improvement in academic achievement and be one of the leading learning communities in Kern County.

## A. Conditions of Learning

## State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

| Teachers | School |  |  | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014-15 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | 2016-17 |
| With Full Credential |  |  | 20 |  |
| Without Full Credential |  |  | 0 |  |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential) |  |  | 0 |  |

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners |  |  | 0 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments * |  |  | 0 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions |  |  | 0 |

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

| Location of Classes | Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers |
| This School |  |  |
| All Schools in District |  |  |
| High-Poverty Schools in District |  |  |
| Low-Poverty Schools in District |  |  |

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)
Year and month in which data were collected: August 2016
Horizon is using currently adopted curriculum in all core subjects.

| Subject | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ <br> Year of Adoption | From <br> Most Recent <br> Adoption? | Percent of Students <br> Lacking Own <br> Assigned Copy |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Reading/Language Arts | Grades K-5 utilize the 2010 California Treasures by <br> MacMilan/McGraw-Hill | Yes | $0 \%$ |
| Mathematics | Grades K-5 utilize the 2013 McGraw Hill My Math | Yes | $0 \%$ |
| Science | Grades K-5 utilize the 2008 Macmillan McGraw-Hill <br> California Science | Yes | $0 \%$ |
| History-Social Science | Grades K-5 utilize the 2007 Harcourt School <br> Reflections | Yes | $0 \%$ |

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)
School opened its doors in August 2016. Our school is brand new and all buildings are up to code
School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

| School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 8/2016 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Inspected | Repair Status |  |  | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
|  | Good | Fair | Poor |  |
| Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer | X |  |  |  |
| Interior: Interior Surfaces | X |  |  |  |
| Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation | X |  |  |  |
| Electrical: Electrical | X |  |  |  |
| Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains | X |  |  |  |
| Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | X |  |  |  |
| Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs | X |  |  |  |


| School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) <br> Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 8/2016 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Inspected | Repair Status |  |  | Repair Needed and <br> Action Taken or Planned |  |
|  | Good | Fair | Poor |  |  |
| External: Playground/School Grounds, <br> Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences | X |  |  |  |  |

## Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

| Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 8/2016 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall Rating | Exemplary | Good | Fair | Poor |  |
|  | X |  |  |  |  |

## B. Pupil Outcomes

## State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

| Subject | Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School |  | District |  | State |  |
|  | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| English Language Arts/Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

| Student Group | Grade | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Enrolled | Tested | Tested | Standard Met or <br> Exceeded |

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

| Student Group | Grade | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Enrolled | Tested | Tested | Standard Met or <br> Exceeded |

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

| Subject | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
|  | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| Science (grades 5, 8, and 10) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group
Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)

| Student <br> Group | Total <br> Enrollment | \# of Students <br> with Valid Scores | \% of Students <br> with Valid Scores |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students |  |  |  |

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

| Grade <br> Level | Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Four of Six Standards | Five of Six Standards | Six of Six Standards |

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## C. Engagement

## State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

## Parent Club

Activities \& events such as School Carnival, Student Performances, math/literacy nights, PIQE
School Site Council
ELAC
Volunteer opportunities in classrooms and as supervisors

## State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Engagement State Priority (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.


## State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

| Rate | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| Suspensions | 0.48 | 0.40 |  | 7.57 | 4.64 |  | 4.36 | 3.80 |  |
| Expulsions | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.05 |  | 0.10 | 0.09 |  |

## School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

Horizon has a well developed School Safety Plan that is updated yearly. We also have a safety committee that meets regularly to address concerns within the school. Included in this committee are certificated staff, classified staff, parents, and MOT personnel. Many concerns are addressed; from fire drills, intruder alerts, infestation of insects and rodents to facilities and supplies. Below are items also addressed in our School Safety Plan:

- School Wide Safety Procedures in place
- Safety Drill's Practiced
- Horizon Guide to Handling Emergency Procedures flipchart completed and updated
- We have a closed campus
- Security gates and cameras
- Visitor Sign In/Sign Out logs in the office
- Staff \& District personnel ID Badges
- Crossing guards \& gate duty aides
- Yard duty aides
- Student rules for use of playground equipment during recess \& freezing at the sound of the bell
- Schoolwide rules in place in computer lab, library, and classrooms to increase time on task.
- Rules in place in the cafeteria to facilitate student lunch, and expected student behavior in the office.


## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.
Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

| Indicator | School | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Program Improvement Status |  | In PI |
| First Year of Program Improvement |  | $2004-2005$ |
| Year in Program Improvement* |  | Year 3 |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

| Grade <br> Level | 2013-14 |  |  |  | 2014-15 |  |  |  | 2015-16 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | Number of Classes |  |  | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | Number of Classes |  |  | Avg. Class Size | Number of Classes |  |  |
|  |  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |
| K | 24 | 1 | 8 |  | 24 |  | 8 |  | 24 |  | 8 |  |
| 1 | 19 | 9 |  |  | 21 | 1 | 9 |  | 21 | 1 | 9 |  |
| 2 | 19 | 10 |  |  | 20 | 9 |  |  | 20 | 9 |  |  |
| 3 | 20 | 8 | 1 |  | 20 | 5 | 4 |  | 20 | 5 | 4 |  |
| 4 | 27 |  | 6 |  | 24 |  | 8 |  | 24 |  | 8 |  |
| 5 | 22 | 1 | 7 |  | 25 |  | 8 |  | 25 |  | 8 |  |
| Other | 20 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

| Title | Number of FTE <br> Assigned to School | Average Number of Students per <br> Academic Counselor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Counselor | .5 | 1030 |
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development) |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian) |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) | 1 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Psychologist | 0.25 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Social Worker |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Nurse | 0.2 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist | 0.5 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Resource Specialist | 1 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Other |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.
*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

| Level | Expenditures Per Pupil |  |  | Average <br> Teacher <br> Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Supplemental/ Restricted | Basic/ <br> Unrestricted |  |
| School Site | 5537 | 1717 | 3820 | 65358 |
| District | N/A | N/A |  | \$64,427 |
| Percent Difference: School Site and District | N/A | N/A |  | -6.3 |
| State | N/A | N/A | \$5,677 | \$67,348 |
| Percent Difference: School Site and State | N/A | N/A | -18.6 | 3.7 |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Nothing. We were not open yet

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

| Category | District Amount | State Average for Districts In Same Category |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Teacher Salary | $\$ 43,717$ | $\$ 42,063$ |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | $\$ 64,334$ | $\$ 64,823$ |
| Highest Teacher Salary | $\$ 81,905$ | $\$ 84,821$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Elementary) | $\$ 114,720$ | $\$ 101,849$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Middle) | $\$ 99,040$ | $\$ 107,678$ |
| Average Principal Salary (High) | $\$ 114,720$ | $\$ 115,589$ |
| Superintendent Salary | $\$ 135,000$ | $\$ 169,152$ |
| Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries | $34 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ |

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries \& Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Our professional development this year is inline with the district's plan. We had no PD last year as we are a new school.

