# McFarland High School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year <br> Published During 2017-18 

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.


## DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

## Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)

| School Contact Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| School Name | McFarland High School |
| Street | 259 Sherwood Avenue |
| City, State, Zip | McFarland, CA 93250 |
| Phone Number | (661) 792-3126 |
| Principal | Brian Bell |
| E-mail Address | brbell@mcfarland.k12.ca.us |
| Web Site | www.mcfarlandusd.com |
| CDS Code | $15-73908-15341155$ |


| District Contact Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| District Name | McFarland Unified School District |
| Phone Number | (661) 792-3081 |
| Superintendent | Victor Hopper |
| E-mail Address | VHopper@mcfarland.k12.ca.us |
| Web Site | www.mcfarlandusd.com |

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

## School Description

Established in 1928, McFarland High School is part of the McFarland School District located in the small rural community of McFarland with a population of approximately 15,000 . The City of McFarland's new motto is "Tradition. Unity. Excellence". The majority of employment in the community is in agriculture production in almonds, grapes, oranges, roses, cotton, or dairy cattle. Despite the agricultural basis for most employment, the mobility rate remains low which creates a relatively stable community. However, with a high percentage of non-English speaking families, with many experiencing extreme poverty, and in light of its geographic isolation devoid of resources and services, McFarland's challenges continues. Of the approximately 3,500 TK - 12th grade students enrolled in the McFarland Unified School District, 929 students attend McFarland High School. The school's ethnic diversity consists of $93 \%$ Hispanic and $7 \%$ others with $100 \%$ able to participate in the free lunch program. In addition, $48 \%$ of these students are English Language Learners; the school places an emphasis on accommodating limited English speaking students by offering three levels of ELD classes in the Academy Program. The high school also offers innovative programs such as AVID, a strong agricultural program, an AP program, and a partnership with Bakersfield College for Career Technical Pathways.

## McFarland High School's Vision and Mission

The vision of McFarland High School, since its inception in 1928, has always been to create and foster an environment of optimal, parent-supported, student academic achievement and personal development. The school motto reflects this with,"Opening doorways to new opportunities: college and beyond."

## MHS COUGARS are:

C - Committed to be career and college ready
O-Organized for success
U-Utilizers of the most up-to-date technology
G - Goal-setters who are adept in achieving any objective
A - Achievers of rigorous \& elevated academic, cultured, \& personal standards
R - Respectful and Responsible to ourselves and our society
S-Successful citizens who are ready to contribute positively to our community
The mission of McFarland High School is to accelerate student performance through great teaching and learning, and to provide each student with the optimal academic, artistic, athletic, and social opportunities to ensure that learning takes place through effective allocation of available resources. In order to provide a quality education to the students of McFarland, McFarland High School emphasizes

## 21st Century Skills

- Collaboration
- Communication
- Critical Thinking
- Creativity


## Then focus on the following areas while on campus:

- A positive learning environment
- Student achievement in reading, writing, and math
- Parental involvement in student progress
- Respect and appreciation for each individual
- Intellectual, physical, and emotional growth
- Academic and social development
- Goal oriented focus - preparing for a meaningful, productive future
- Positive self-image through awareness and adoption of healthy lifestyles
- High standards of personal integrity and responsibility


## WASC Accreditation Goals:

1) Promote Literacy Skills: Focus on Reading and Writing
2) Make Learning Accessible: Restructure Course Offerings
3) Develop a Goal Mindset: Ensure College and Career Readiness

MHS Motto:
"Opening doorways to new opportunities: college and beyond"
Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

| Grade <br> Level | Number of <br> Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| Grade 9 | 264 |
| Grade 10 | 224 |
| Grade 11 | 223 |
| Grade 12 | 196 |
| Total Enrollment | 907 |

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2016-17)

| Student <br> Group | Percent of <br> Total Enrollment |
| :--- | :---: |
| Black or African American | 0.8 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0.1 |
| Asian | 0.2 |
| Filipino | 0.7 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 97.2 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0 |
| White | 1 |
| Two or More Races | 0 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 88.9 |
| English Learners | 18.3 |
| Students with Disabilities | 8 |
| Foster Youth | 0.6 |

## A. Conditions of Learning

## State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

| Teachers | School |  |  | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015-16 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | 2017-18 |
| With Full Credential | 43 | 42 |  |  |
| Without Full Credential | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential) | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | 2017-18 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total Teacher Misassignments * | 0 | 0 |  |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 0 |  |

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)
Year and month in which data were collected: August 2017

| Subject | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption | From <br> Most Recent Adoption? | Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading/Language Arts | English 9CP <br> Pearson Education; My Perspective Grade 9 CA; 2017 <br> English 9 Honors <br> Pearson Education; My Perspective Grade 9 CA; 2017 <br> English 10 CP <br> Pearson Education; My Perspective Grade 10 CA; 2017 <br> English 10 Honors <br> Pearson Education; My Perspective Grade 10 CA; 2017 <br> English 11 CP <br> Pearson Education; My Perspective American <br> Literature CA.; 2017 <br> English 11 AP/Language <br> Pearson Education; My Perspective American <br> Literature CA.; 2017 | Yes | 0\% |


| Subject | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption | From Most Recent Adoption? | Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | English 12 CP <br> Pearson Education; My Perspective British and World Literature CA.; 2017 <br> AP English Literature 12 <br> Pearson Education; My Perspective British and World Literature CA.; 2017 <br> English 12 AP/Literature <br> Pearson Education; My Perspective British and World Literature CA.; 2017 <br> ELD: <br> Pearson; iLit ELL; 2017 |  |  |
| Mathematics | Math 1: <br> Integrated Mathematics Volume 1 <br> Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2015 <br> Math 1 H : <br> Houghton Mifflin Harcourt; Integrated Mathematics <br> 1, Vol 1; 2015 <br> Houghton Mifflin Harcourt; Integrated Mathematics <br> 1, Vol 2; 2015 <br> Houghton Mifflin Harcourt; Integrated Mathematics <br> 2, Vol 1; 2015 <br> Math 2 H : <br> Houghton Mifflin Harcourt; Integrated Mathematics <br> 2 Vol. 2; 2015 <br> Houghton Mifflin Harcourt; Integrated Mathematics <br> 3, Vol 1; 2015 <br> Houghton Mifflin Harcourt; Integrated Mathematics <br> 3, Vol 2; 2015 <br> Math 2: <br> Integrated Mathematics Volume 2 <br> Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2015 <br> Math 3: <br> Integrated Mathematics Volume 3 <br> Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2015 <br> Pre-Calculus: <br> Prentice Hall, Pre-calculus, <br> 4th Ed., 2010 <br> AP Calculus: <br> Prentice Hall, Calculus: <br> Graphical, Numerical, <br> Algebraic, 2007 <br> Personal Finance: <br> Ramsey Education; Foundations in Personal Finance High School Edition; 2014 | Yes | 0\% |


| Subject | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption | From <br> Most Recent Adoption? | Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stats: <br> Modeling the World, AP Edition Pearson, 2015 |  |  |
| Science | Earth Science: <br> Glencoe McGraw Hill, Earth Science, 2007 <br> Chemistry CP: Glencoe McGraw Hill, Chemistry: Matter \& Change, 2007 <br> AP Chemistry: McDougal Littell, Chemistry 7th Ed., Zumdah, 2007 <br> Biology CP: <br> Prentice Hall, Biology, 2007 <br> Physics: <br> Glencoe McGraw Hill, Physics, Principles and Problems, 2008 <br> Ag Earth Science: Glencoe McGraw Hill, Earth Science, 2007; <br> Delmar Publishers, Agriscience: Fundamentals and Applications, 2nd Ed., 2005 <br> Ag Science Biology: Prentice Hall, Biology, 2007; <br> Prentice Hall, Biology: <br> Laboratory Manual, 2007; <br> Delmar Publishers, Agriscience: Fundamentals and Applications, 2nd Ed., 1998 <br> AP Biology <br> Pearson, Biology, 8th AP <br> Edition 2008 | Yes | 20\% |
| History-Social Science | World History CP <br> McDougal Littell, Modern World History: Patterns of Interaction, 2006 | Yes | 0\% |


| Subject | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption | From Most Recent Adoption? | Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | World History AP MPS, Ways of the World: A Global History, 2011 <br> U.S. History CP: McGraw Hill, American Vision, 2006 <br> U.S. History Honors: McGraw Hill, American Vision, 2006 <br> American Government CP: Prentice Hall, Magruder's American Government, 2006 <br> Ag Government: McGraw Hill, Magruder's American Democracy, 8th Ed., 2006 <br> AP Government McGraw Hill, American Democracy, 8th Ed. 2006 <br> Economics: <br> Prentice Hall, Economics: <br> Principles in Action, 2007 |  |  |
| Foreign Language | Spanish 1: <br> McDougal Littell, En <br> Espanol Level 1, CA Ed., 2004 <br> Spanish 2: <br> McDougal Littell, En Espanol Level 2,CA Ed., 2004 <br> Spanish 3: <br> McDougal Littell, En <br> Espanol Level 3, CA Ed., 2004 <br> Spanish 4: <br> Houghton, Mifflin <br> Continuemos! <br> 7th Ed., <br> 2003 <br> AP Spanish Language: <br> McDougal Littell, En <br> Espanol, Level 3, CA Ed., 2004 | Yes | 0\% |


| Subject | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption | From Most Recent Adoption? | Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health | Positive Prevention PLUS, LLC; Sexual Health Education for America's Youth; 2016 | Yes | 0\% |
| Visual and Performing Arts | Glencoe: Music! Its Role and Importance in Our Lives, 2000 | Yes | 0\% |
| Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12) | Science Laboratory <br> Equipment (grades 9-12) <br> Sufficient Laboratory <br> Equipment is available for <br> Ag Science I, Ag Science II, <br> Ag Biology, Biology, <br> Physics and Chemistry <br> classes. <br> Inventory includes: <br> Microscopes (10) <br> Petrie Dishes (100) <br> Scales (1) <br> Dissection Kits (20) <br> Graduated Cylinders (10) <br> Dissection Pans (10) <br> Test Tubes (50) <br> Dell Laptops (24) <br> Lab refrigerator (1) <br> Dissection tools (115) <br> Beakers (90) <br> Human skeleton (1) <br> Test tubes (150) <br> Dissection trays (20) <br> Microscopes (40) <br> Meter sticks (12) <br> Laserdisc players (2) <br> Tongs (1) <br> Petrie dishes (175) <br> Animal Skeletons (8) <br> Magnifying glasses (20) <br> Computers (2) <br> Grow cabinets (2) <br> Microscope slides (200) <br> VCR (1) <br> Centrifuge (1) <br> Microscope cameras (2) <br> Tables (5) <br> Student computers (6) <br> 8 each of the following: <br> 50 mL Beaker <br> Electronic balance <br> 100 mL Beaker <br> Mortar \& pestle <br> 250mL Beaker <br> Evaporating dish <br> 400mL/600mL Beaker | Yes | 0\% |


| Subject | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption | From <br> Most Recent Adoption? | Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Crucible \& cover <br> 125 mL Erlenmeyer flask <br> Glass plate <br> 250mL Erlenmeyer flask <br> Medicine dropper <br> 10 mL graduated cylinder <br> Dropper bottle <br> 100mL graduated cylinder <br> Stirring rod <br> Test tube holder <br> Test tube brush <br> Beaker tongs <br> Beaker brush <br> Scoopulas <br> Test tubes \& rack <br> Microplate <br> Bunsen burner \& tubing <br> Funnel <br> Hot Plate <br> Thermometer <br> Test tube clamp <br> Forceps <br> Clamp Holder <br> Plastic beaker set <br> Thermometer clamp <br> Volumetric Flask <br> Pinchers <br> Goggles <br> Aprons <br> Sink \& running water |  |  |

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

## General

The facilities at McFarland High School are clean, safe, functional, and maintained in good repair as determined by the Office of Public School Construction. To assist in this effort, the district uses the facility survey instrument developed by that office. The results of these surveys are available at the school office. In addition every year, inspectors make scheduled and unscheduled visits to our school to check for compliance as per the Williams settlement legislation. The facility report is on file in the office

## Planned Improvements

Adding 1-Portable buildings to the West parking lot for the schools expansion to incorporate the Career Technical Education Pathways that are planned at McFarland High School.

## School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the most recently collected FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

| School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 8/11/2017 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Inspected | Repair Status |  |  | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
|  | Good | Fair | Poor |  |
| Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer | X |  |  |  |
| Interior: Interior Surfaces | X |  |  |  |
| Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation | X |  |  |  |
| Electrical: Electrical | X |  |  |  |
| Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains | X |  |  |  |
| Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | X |  |  |  |
| Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs | X |  |  |  |
| External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences | X |  |  |  |

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

| Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 8/11/2017 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall Rating | Exemplary | Good | Fair | Poor |  |
|  |  | X |  |  |  |

## B. Pupil Outcomes

## State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

| Subject | Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards <br> (grades 3-8 and 11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School |  | District |  | State |  |
|  | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ | $2015-16$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| English Language Arts/Literacy <br> (grades 3-8 and 11) | 42 | 58 | 27 | 27 | 48 | 48 |
| Mathematics <br> (grades 3-8 and 11) | 21 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 36 | 37 |

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

| Student Group | Total <br> Enrollment | Number <br> Tested | Percent <br> Tested | Percent <br> Met or Exceeded |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | 208 | 208 | 100 | 57.69 |
| Male | 111 | 111 | 100 | 52.25 |
| Female | 97 | 97 | 100 | 63.92 |
| Asian | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Hispanic or Latino | 205 | 205 | 100 | 57.56 |
| White | -- | -- | -- |  |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 188 | 188 | 100 | 56.91 |
| English Learners | 56 | 56 | 100 | 26.79 |
| Students with Disabilities | -- | -- | -- |  |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services | 17 | -- | 17 | -- |
| Foster Youth | -- | -- | 41.18 |  |

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

[^0]CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

| Student Group | Total Enrollment | Number Tested | Percent <br> Tested | Percent <br> Met or Exceeded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | 208 | 208 | 100 | 17.31 |
| Male | 111 | 111 | 100 | 21.62 |
| Female | 97 | 97 | 100 | 12.37 |
| Asian | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Hispanic or Latino | 205 | 205 | 100 | 17.07 |
| White | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 188 | 188 | 100 | 17.02 |
| English Learners | 56 | 56 | 100 | 1.79 |
| Students with Disabilities | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services | 17 | 17 | 100 | 11.76 |
| Foster Youth | -- | -- | -- | -- |

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students
Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

| Subject | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School |  | District |  | State |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ |
| Science (grades 5, 8, and 10) | 24 | 31 | 29 | 28 | 60 | 56 |

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

## Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2016-17)

The following classes were offered in-house for CTE Programs.

- Ag. Business
- Multi-media Design
- Welding
- Logistics/Supply Chain - Planning Year, Dual Enrollment Bakersfield College
- Computer Aided Design (CAD) - Planning Year

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2016-17)

| Measure | CTE Program <br> Participation |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of pupils participating in CTE | 150 |
| $\%$ of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma | 100 |
| $\%$ of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education | 100 |

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

| UC/CSU Course Measure | Percent |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2016-17 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission | 96.44 |
| 2015-16 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission | 49.71 |

## State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

| Grade <br> Level | Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Four of Six Standards | Five of Six Standards | Six of Six Standards |
| 9 | 20.8 | 16.5 | 17.9 |

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy

## C. Engagement

## State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.


## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

```
School Site Council (SSC)
ELAC Parent Meetings
DELAC Parent Meetings
Back-To-School Night
Parent/Teacher Conference Night
AVID (Advancement Via Individual Determination) Parent Meetings
TRiO Semester Parent Meetings
TRiO Cash for College
College Night Parent/Student
9th Grade Enrollment Parent/Student Conferences
9th Grade Parent/Student Orientation
Sophomore Counseling Parent Conferences
Financial Aid Workshops
Career Center Senior Parent Financial Aid Conferences
Career Day
Awards Banquets
Agricultural Advisory Committee (FFA activities)
CTE Advisory Meetings
Field Trips - Chaperone
Extra-Curricular and Athletic Events
```

Parent Conferences as requested by parent/teacher
Parent portal on Infinite Campus

## State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

| Indicator | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| Dropout Rate | 3.8 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 14.7 | 6.8 | 5.1 | 11.5 | 10.7 | 9.7 |
| Graduation Rate | 95.54 | 98.42 | 99.42 | 79.9 | 89.19 | 92.39 | 80.95 | 82.27 | 83.77 |

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2016 (One-Year Rate)

| Group | Graduating Class of 2016 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | District | State |
| All Students | 100 | 100 | 87.11 |
| Black or African American | 0 | 0 | 79.19 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 0 | 80.17 |
| Asian | 100 | 100 | 94.42 |
| Filipino | 100 | 100 | 93.76 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 100 | 100 | 84.58 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 86.57 |
| White | 100 | 100 | 90.99 |
| Two or More Races | 0 | 0 | 90.59 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 90 | 100 | 63.9 |
| English Learners | 77.27 | 92.31 | 55.44 |
| Students with Disabilities | 100 | 100 | 85.45 |
| Foster Youth | 100 | 100 | 68.19 |

## State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.


## Suspensions and Expulsions

| Rate | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Suspensions | 5.1 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.6 |
| Expulsions | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

## School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

In order to ensure the safety of students and staff, the school safety committee annually reviews the site safety plan using the Standardizes Emergency Management System (SEMS) and National Incident Management System (NIMS) plans as guidelines. The updated plan includes newly designated emergency exit and staging areas now that the two story-building and stadium construction projects are complete. The areas covered in the Safe School Plan include district and site communication systems, school site personnel duties and responsibilities, composition and role of the crisis intervention team, site communication procedures, pupil release and evacuation procedures, parental notification and responsibilities, and specific emergency/disaster procedures. Local emergency agencies including the McFarland Police Department and the Kern County Fire Department have access to the school/campus map, emergency exits, and fire alarm system. Flip charts referencing emergency procedures are located in each classroom throughout the school which allows quick access to emergency routines and procedures. As per California Education Code, the school regularly conducts fire drills as well as other drills. McFarland High School participates in the annual earthquake drill, the Great California Shakeout, in October.

McFarland High School's staff, administration, and students feel our campus is safe, orderly, friendly and as a result, enhances learning. The administration, counselors, teachers, and the staff make contact with parents regarding discipline, attendance, and studentrelated concerns. One campus supervisor and one Student Affairs Specialist assists administrators and staff in monitoring the safety of our campus, investigations, and security of our school site.

## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

| Indicator | School | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Program Improvement Status | In PI | In PI |
| First Year of Program Improvement | $2009-2010$ | $2004-2005$ |
| Year in Program Improvement* | Year 5 | Year 3 |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 4 |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 80 |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

| Subject | 2014-15 |  |  |  | 2015-16 |  |  |  | 2016-17 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  |
|  |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |
| English | 28 | 6 | 21 | 10 | 24 | 13 | 28 | 4 | 25 | 16 | 26 | 4 |
| Mathematics | 26 | 8 | 18 | 4 | 27 | 5 | 23 | 2 | 26 | 4 | 27 | 1 |
| Science | 27 | 4 | 15 | 3 | 25 | 6 | 15 | 3 | 25 | 6 | 19 | 1 |
| Social Science | 29 | 2 | 13 | 6 | 26 | 7 | 11 | 6 | 26 | 8 | 10 | 6 |

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

| Title | Number of FTE <br> Assigned to School | Average Number of Students per <br> Academic Counselor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Counselor | 2 | 450 |
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development) | 1 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian) | 1 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) | 0.5 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Psychologist | 0.33 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Social Worker | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Nurse | 0.2 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist | 0.2 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Resource Specialist | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Other | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.
*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

| Level | Expenditures Per Pupil |  |  | Average <br> Teacher <br> Salary |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Supplemental/ <br> Restricted | Basic/ <br> Unrestricted | ( |
| School Site | $\$ 7,694$ | $\$ 977.35$ | $\$ 6717.35$ | $\$ 73,572.65$ |
| District | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  | $\$ 66,950$ |
| Percent Difference: School Site and District | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  | 5.5 |
| State | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\$ 6,574$ | $\$ 69,649$ |
| Percent Difference: School Site and State | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 43.2 | 16.7 |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Funding from LCAP and categorical or other sources provide for a variety of programs at MHS that include:

- 8th Period Remediation (Cougar Focus)
- Summer Academies
- FFA and AG activities
- ELA/Math Intervention
- Professional Development for Staff
- Consulting Services
- Academic Remediation programs

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

| Category | District Amount | State Average for Districts In Same Category |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Teacher Salary | $\$ 44,591$ | $\$ 44,144$ |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | $\$ 65,621$ | $\$ 69,119$ |
| Highest Teacher Salary | $\$ 83,543$ | $\$ 86,005$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Elementary) | $\$ 91,846$ | $\$ 106,785$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Middle) | $\$ 106,069$ | $\$ 111,569$ |
| Average Principal Salary (High) | $\$ 119,940$ | $\$ 121,395$ |
| Superintendent Salary | $\$ 160,000$ | $\$ 178,104$ |
| Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries | $31 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ |

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries \& Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.
Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2016-17)

| Subject | Number of AP Courses Offered* | Percent of Students In AP Courses |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Computer Science |  | N/A |
| English | 2 | N/A |
| Fine and Performing Arts | 1 | N/A |
| Foreign Language | 1 | N/A |
| Mathematics | 1 | N/A |
| Science | 1 | N/A |
| Social Science | 3 | N/A |
| All courses | 9 | 20.9 |

Cells with N/A values do not require data.
*Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

## Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

McFarland High School is committed to offering professional development opportunities for teachers and staff. The district and the site coordinate efforts to provide staff development. Every Tuesday, McFarland High School has a minimum day to allow for staff meetings with time devoted to WASC (Midterm Self-Study WASC year), Common Core State Standards Standards Alignment, Teaching Strategies, English Language Development Strategies, Student Data Analysis, California Technical Education, and Staff Development. The school works closely with district coaches for Technology, Math, and English to find tune the pacing and curriculum's. In addition, teacher professional developments are in place to increase student engagement where at McFarland High School we aspire for all students to become life-long learners with intrinsic motivation for positive growth. Therefore, daily instruction constantly engages all students, that inspires curiosity, critical thinking, and classroom involvement through collaborative, creative, and relevant learning.


[^0]:    Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

