# Kem Avenue Elementary 2008-09 

## School Acc ountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09
Published During 2009-10

## Mc Farland Unified

15-73908-6009765
661-792-3081
Website: www.mcfarlandusd.com
Superintendent Gabriel Mc Curtis

## E-mail Address: sespinoza@mcfarland.k12.ca.us



356 Kem Ave.
McFarland, Califomia, 93250(661) 792-3033

Principal: Ty Bryson
tybryson@mcfarland.k12.ca.us

[^0]
## I. Data and Access

## DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specific ally, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g. Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP], test da ta, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English leamers.

## Intemet Access

Intemet access is ava ila ble at public libraries and other locations that are publicly a ccessible (e.g., the Califomia State Library). Access to the Intemet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictionsinclude the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## II. About This School

## School Desc ription and Mission Statement (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

## Mission Statement

The mission of Kem Avenue School is to ensure success of all students' social, emotional, and academic needs while providing a safe leaming environment that promotes good values and positive self-image. We acknowledge that a quality staff is the key to ensure a quality educ ation and we are committed to the development of professional skills a nd full human potential.
To accomplish this mission, the school's vision is full implementation and refinement of the following goals:
Grade-level performance of all students in reading, writing, and math at their instructional level.
Integrate and coordinate student services and provide standards based materials for grade level instruction. Instruct to district expectations and to state standards and challenges.
Provide meaningful and regular opportunities for parents and community members to become partners in education. Ensure access to core curriculum by budgeting for materials, by providing staff development, and by monitoring instruction.
Improve accountability by gathering data of subgroup populations and by writing specific improvement plans for those subgroups.
Develop student pride in achievement, responsibility, ethnicity, culture, heritage, and primary language proficiency of self and of others.
Lead proactively and with high expectations of staff, of student achievement, and of parent involvement. Ensure all students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, drug free and conductive to learning. All limited English proficient students will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics. Kern avenue is a K-5 elementary school. It has a standard nine-month calendar. As of October 2009 Kern Avenue's enrollment is 1,016 students. Kern Avenue was built in 1936 and is located 28 miles North of Bakersfield.

The school has a total of 54 certific ated staff, including a Principal, Vice Principal, 2 Reading Coaches, and a part-time Counselor, and Computer Teacher. Our school district employs a Math Coach, ED Coach and Technology Support Team that provide service to all district school sites. A school library clerk and 21 instructional aides provide direct instructional support for our stud ents.

Kem Avenue students are primarily of Hispanic descent and make up the largest sub-group of students, accounting for a pproximately $94 \%$ of the total student population. Approximately $70 \%$ of the Hispanic sub-group are identified asEL leamers. Kem Avenue is a school-wide Title I school. Approximately $100 \%$ of the students have been identified as socioeconomically disadvantaged and The ethnic composition of the school staff mirrors that of the student population with a pproximately $75 \%$ of the staff being of Hispanic descent.
Kem Avenue is in yearfive of participation in Program Improvement.
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## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Sc hool Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about opportunities for parents to become involved with school activities.
Kern Avenue encourages parents to participate in their child's education by promoting communication through parent nights, conferences, family picnic days, and verbal and written correspondence. Kern Avenue has a parent policy that includes a parent compact that helps strengthen the partnerships between the home and school. Parents, students, teachers and the Principal are asked to sign this compact during parent conferences. Teacher/Parent conferences and parent meetings are held to explain the State Standards and how to interpret their child's STAR results. Teachers present the grade level standards to parents at Back to School Night. Parents are also given a copy of the grade level standards. Parent meetings are designed to assist the parents on how they can help their child at home. The school also provides the parents with a yearly school calendar outlining the special events for the school year. Administration, teachers, and parents represent Kern Avenue's School Site Council. Parents, elected by their peers, represent the majority sub-group on the council, and represent all student sub-groups. The school site council helps to develop the school wide plan and the parent compact. The parents are welcome to observe in the classrooms, and encouraged to volunteer their assistance in the classrooms.
Kern Avenue recieves input and oversight from the School Site Council, ELAC, DELAC, Migrant, as well outside consultants regarding the planning, implementation, and evaluation of consolidated application programs.

## Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

| Grade level | Number of Sudents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Kindergarten | 159 |
| Grade 1 | 181 |
| Grade 2 | 174 |
| Grade 3 | 151 |
| Grade 4 | 163 |
| Grade 5 | 136 |
| Total Enrollment | 964 |

## Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

| Group | Percent of Total <br> Enrollment | Croup | Percent offotal <br> Enrollment |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| African American | $0.62 \%$ | White (not Hispanic) | $1.76 \%$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $0.10 \%$ | Multiple or No Response | $1.35 \%$ |
| Asian | $0.21 \%$ | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | $100.00 \%$ |
| Filipino | $0.00 \%$ | English Leamers | $60.00 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | $95.95 \%$ | Students with Disabilities | $12.00 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $0.00 \%$ | N/A | N/A |
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## Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

This table displays, by grade level, the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

|  | 2006-07 |  |  |  | 2007-08 |  |  |  | 2008-09 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  | Avg. <br> Class <br> Sze | Number of Classrooms |  |  | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | Number of Classiooms |  |  |
|  |  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |
| K | 19.9 | 9 |  |  | 18.8 | 9 |  |  | 17.6 | 9 |  |  |
| 1 | 19.6 | 7 |  |  | 19.8 | 9 |  |  | 20.0 | 9 |  |  |
| 2 | 20.0 | 8 |  |  | 19.0 | 7 |  |  | 19.0 | 9 |  |  |
| 3 | 19.4 | 7 |  |  | 19.9 | 8 |  |  | 18.8 | 8 |  |  |
| 4 | 27.3 | 1 | 5 |  | 26.8 |  | 5 |  | 23.1 |  | 7 |  |
| 5 | 27.0 |  | 5 |  | 30.4 |  | 5 |  | 27.2 |  | 5 |  |
| K-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $4-8$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## III. School Climate

## School Safety Plan (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.
Kern Avenue has a well developed School Safety Plan that is updated yearly. We also have a crises committee that meets regularly to address concerns within the school. Included in this committee are certificated staff, classified staff, parents, and MOT personnel. Many concerns are addressed; from fire drills, intruder alerts to infestation of ants and spiders to facilities and supplies. Below are items also addressed in our School Safety Plan:

- School Wide Safety Procedures in place
- Safety Drill's Practiced
- Kern Avenue Guide to Handling Emergency Procedures flipchart completed and updated
- We have a closed campus
- Security gates
- Visitor Sign In/Sign Out logs in the office
- Staff \& District personnel ID Badges
- Movement of new Kindergarten playground equipment to meet OSHA requirements
- Crossing guards \& gate duty aides
- Yard duty aides
- Student rules for use of playground equipment during recess \& freezing at the sound of the bell
- Schoolwide rules in place in computer lab, library, and classrooms to increase time on task, rules in place in the cafeteria to facilitate student lunch, and expected student behavior in the office.


## Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions a nd expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most rec ent three-year period.

| Rate | School |  |  |  | District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2006-07$ | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ | $2006-07$ | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ |  |
| Suspensions | 7.1 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 15.7 | 18.3 | 21.3 |  |
| Expulsions | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 |  |

## IV. School Facilities

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvement (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms based on the most recent data available, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

## School Facility Good Repair Status. (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repairstatus.

| System Inspected | Repair Status |  |  |  | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exemplary | Good | Fair | Poor |  |
| Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanic al/HVAC, Sewer | - | $\downarrow$ | - | - |  |
| Interior: Interior Surfaces | - | 8 | - | - |  |
| Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation | - | $\downarrow$ | - | - |  |
| Electric al: Eectrical | - | $\cdots$ | - | - |  |
| Restrooms/ Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains | - | $\downarrow$ | - | - |  |
| Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | - | 8 | - | - |  |
| Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs | - | $\theta$ | - | - |  |
| Extemal: Playground/ School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/ Fences | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |  |
| Overall Rating | - | $\downarrow$ | - | - |  |

## V. Teachers

## Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualificationscan be found on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/.

| Teachers | School |  |  |  | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2006-07$ | $2007-08$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | 2008-09 |  |
| With Full Credential | 42 | 45 | 50 | 158 |  |
| Without Full Credential | 7 | 6 | 2 | 15 |  |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A |  |

## Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of tea cher misassignments (tea chers assigned without proper legal a uthorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misa ssignments inc ludes the number of Misa ssignments of Tea chers of English Lea mers.

| Indicator | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ | $2009-10$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Misassignments of Teachers of English Leamers | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NC B compliant tea chers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student partic ipation of a pproximately 75 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/.

| Location of Classes | Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Taught by NCLB Compliant | Teaght by Non-NCIB Compliant |
| Teachers |  |  |
| This School | 96 | 4 |
| All Schools in District | 92.2 | 7.8 |
| High-Poverty Schools in District | 91.9 | 8.1 |
| Low-Poverty Schools in District |  |  |

## Vl. Support Saff

## Ac ademic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FIE), the number of a cademic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students peracademic counselor. One FIE equals one staff member working full time; one FIE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

| Thie | Number of Fle Assigned to <br> School | Average Number of Students <br> per Academic Counselor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Counselor | 1.0 | 964 |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian) |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Library Media Senvices Staff (Paraprofessional) |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Psychologist |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Social Worker |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Nurse |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Speech/ Language/ Hearing Specialist |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Resource Specialist (non - teaching) |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Other |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## VII. Cunic ulum and Instuctional Materials

## Quality, Curency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays information about the quality, curency, and availability of the standards-aligned textb ooks and other instruc tional materia ls used at the school, a nd information about the school's use of a ny supplemental c uric ulum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

## School Accountability Report Card

| Core Curic ulum Area | Quality, Curency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials | Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and/or Instructional Materials |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading/ Language Arts | Grades K-5 utilize the 2002 SRA / Open Court <br> Curriculum as the language arts core curriculum. <br> This curriculum was adopted in 2002 by the State of California and May of 2002 by the McFarland Unified School district. <br> Grades 4-5 utilize the 2005 Sopris West Language! <br> 3rd Edition for language arts intervention curriculum. This curriculum was adopted by the state in 2002 and adopted by the McFarland Unified School District in May 2002. All language arts textbooks, at all grade levels, are California state standards based. Materials are available for all students. | 0 |
| Mathematics | Grades K-5 utilize the 2008 Houghton Mifflin, <br> California Math. This curriculum was adopted in 2008 by the State of California and in May 2009 by <br> the McFarland Unified School District. <br> All grade levels work collaboratively to develop <br> "Pacing Guides" that help align the current math text with state standards. All math textbook and materials are California Standards Based. Materials are available for all students | 0 |
| Science | Grades K-5 utilize the 2008 Macmillan McGraw-Hill, <br> California Science Curriculum as the science core. <br> This curriculum was adopted in 2006 by the State of California and June of 2006 by the McFarland Unified School district. All science textbooks and materials are California Standards Based. Materials are available for all students. | 0 |

Kem Avenue Elementary 2008-09

## School Accountability Report Card

| Core Curic ulum Area | Quality, Curency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials | Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and/or Instuctional Materials |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| History-Social Science | Grades K-5 utilize the 2007 Harcourt School, <br> Reflections curriculum as the social studies core. <br> This curriculum was adopted in 2005 by the State of California and June of 2006 by the McFarland Unified School district. All social studies textbooks and materials are California Standards Based. <br> Materials are available for all students. | 0 |
| Foreign Language | n/a | 0 |
| Health | n/a | 0 |
| Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12) | n/a | 0 |
| Visual and Performing Arts | n/a | 0 |

## VIll. School Finances

## Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fisc al Year 2007-08)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestric ted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, a nd a compa rison of the average teacher salary at the school site with a verage teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditurescan be found on the CDE Current Expense of Education \& Per-pupil Spending Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/ and teacher salaries can be found on the CDE Certificated Salaries \& Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

| level | Total Expenditures Per Pupil | Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/ Restricted) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expenditures } \\ & \text { PerPupil (Basic/ } \\ & \text { Unresticted) } \end{aligned}$ | Average TeacherSalary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Site | \$1,000.66 | \$60.40 | \$769.07 | \$56,262.00 |
| District | N/A | N/A | \$171.19 | \$59,359 |
| Percent Difference - School Site and District | N/A | N/A | 349.25 \% | -5.22 \% |
| State | N/A | N/A | \$5,512 | \$60,994 |
| Percent Difference - School Site and State | N/A | N/A | -86.05 \% | -7.76 \% |

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are provided at the school through either categorical funds or other sources.
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Student services and programs funded at Kern Avenue:

- Computer Lab
- Title I and EIA/LEP Instructional aides
- GATE After School Program
- After school Intervention classes for K-5th grades
- Oral Language
- Math Field Day
- Spelling Bee
- Two Reading Coaches
- English Learner Resource Teacher
- . 5 Full Time Equivalent Counselor
- District Math Coach
- Vice Principal


## Teac her and Administrative Salaries (Fisc al Year 2007-08)

This ta ble displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, a nd compares these figures to the state a verages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative sala ries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figuresto the state averages fordistricts of the same type a nd size based on the salary schedule. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the CDE Certific ated Salaries \& Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

| Category | District Amount | State Average For Districts In Same Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Teacher Salary | \$40,811 | \$38,941 |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | \$60,058 | \$59,686 |
| Highest Teacher Salary | \$77,961 | \$77,828 |
| Average Principal Salary (Eementary) | \$94,252 | \$94,258 |
| Average Principal Salary (Middle) | \$98,965 | \$98,271 |
| Average Principal Salary (High) | \$103,975 | \$104,869 |
| Superintendent Salary | \$125,000 | \$142,247 |
| Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries | 35.00 \% | 38.20 \% |
| Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries | 4.50 \% | 5.90 \% |

## IX. Sudent Performance

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Program
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The Sta ndardized Testing a nd Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the Califomia Standards Tests (CSTs); the Califomia Modified Assessment (CMA), a nd the Califomia Altemate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in gradestwo through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; a nd history-social science in grades eight, a nd ten through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics, a nd science in gradestwo through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with signific a nt cognitive disabilities whose disa bilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modific a tions or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA and mathematics for gradesthree through eight and science in grade five and is an altemate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities prec lude them from achieving grade-level profic iency on an assessment of the Califomia content standards with or without accommodations. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Deta iled information regarding the STAR Program results foreach gra de and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE Sta nda rdized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the Explaining 2008 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/sr/documents/starpkt5intrpts.pdf. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either bec a use the number of students in this category is too small for statistic al accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of a ny individual student.

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students ac hieving at the Proficient orAdvanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

| Subject | School |  |  | Distict |  |  | State |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2006-07$ | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ | $2006-07$ | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ | $2006-07$ | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ |
| English-Language Arts | 26 | 24 | 25 | 22 | 26 | 25 | 43 | 46 | 50 |
| Mathematics | 38 | 43 | 44 | 25 | 27 | 29 | 40 | 43 | 46 |
| Science | 19 | 25 | 22 | 15 | 23 | 25 | 38 | 46 | 50 |
| History-Social Science | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 19 | 22 | 33 | 36 | 41 |
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## Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient orAdvanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

| Group | Percent of Sudents Scoring at Proficient or Advanced |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EnglishLanguage Arts | Mathematics | Science | History-Social Science |
| Afric an American | * | * | * |  |
| Americ an Indian or Alaska Native | * | * |  |  |
| Asian |  |  |  |  |
| Flipino |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 25 | 44 | 22 |  |
| Pacific Islander |  |  |  |  |
| White (not Hispanic) | 47 | 47 |  |  |
| Male | 21 | 41 | 27 |  |
| Female | 30 | 47 | 17 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 26 | 44 | 22 |  |
| English Leamers | 15 | 36 | 5 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 15 | 27 | * |  |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Senvices | 19 | 39 | 19 |  |

## Califomia Physical Fitness Test Results (Sc hool Year 2008-09)

The Califomia Physic al Fitness Test is administered to students in grades five, seven, a nd nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Deta iled information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE Physical Fitness Testing Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistic al accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall a ny group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score orperformance of a ny individual student.

| Grade level | Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Four of Six <br> Standards | Five of Six <br> Standards | Six of Six <br> Standards |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 24.4 | 28.1 | 18.5 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

## X. Accountability

## Academic Performance Index
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The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in Califomia. API sc ores range from 200 to 1,000 , with a statewide target of 800 . Detailed information about the API can be found at the CDE Academic Performance Index (API) Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

## Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The sta tewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A sta tewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistic ally matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's sacademic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

| API Rank | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statewide | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Similar Schools | 5 | 7 | 6 |

## Ac ademic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note, "N/A" means that the student group is not numeric ally signific ant.

| Group | Actual API Change |  |  | Growth API Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2006-07$ | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ | 2009 |
| All Students at the School | 16 | 4 | -14 | 677 |
| African American |  |  |  |  |
| Americ an Indian or Alaska Native |  |  |  |  |
| Asian |  |  |  |  |
| Flipino |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 14 | 10 | -14 | 677 |
| Pacific Islander |  |  |  |  |
| White (not Hispanic $)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 13 | 12 | -14 | 677 |
| English Leamers | 10 | 6 | -2 | 655 |
| Students with Disabilities |  |  |  |  |

## Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.
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## School Acc ountability Report Card

## Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays an indic ation of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

| AYP Criteria | School | District |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Overall | No | No |
| Participation Rate - English-Language Arts | Yes | Yes |
| Participation Rate - Mathematics | Yes | Yes |
| Percent Proficient- English-Language Arts | No | No |
| Percent Proficient - Mathematics | No | No |
| API | Yes | No |
| Graduation Rate | N/A | No |

## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2009-10)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identific ation can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

| Indicator | School | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Program Improvement Status | In PI | In PI |
| First Year of Program Improvement | $2004-2005$ | 2004-2005 |
| Year in Program Improvement | Year 5 | Year 3 |
| Number of Schools Curently in Program Improvement | N/A | 4 |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | N/A | 66.7 |

## XII. Instructional Planning and Sc heduling

## Professional Development

This section provides information on the a nnual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.
Professional growth activities are planned annually based on current need and input received from staff. The district provides
three districtwide staff development days annually. In addition, teachers attend various conferences outside of the district
with the intent to extend their knowledge and refine their skills.
Current and ongoing staff development includes:

- Weekly grade level meetings to analyze data, plan instruction, monitor student progress, and evaluate program effectiveness in teaching language arts, math and ELD standards;
- In-services provided on-site through the district office, the County Superintendent of Schools office, and through the Migrant
program;
- Local conferences through the county offered regularly throughout the year for opportunities to further staff development;
- Demonstration lessons by classroom teachers and observations by BTSA providers lend additional support in developing
exemplary teaching practices;
- A district ELD coordinator and An EL Resource Teacher at each site provide ongoing support for English Language Learners
through classroom modeling, scheduling release time for teachers to visit each other and providing support with additional
instructional materials;
- Two on site, full-time Reading Coaches to support teachers in the implementation of standards-based instructional practices and to establish pacing charts and consistent assessment tools for measuring mastery of content standards in language arts;
- A School Library Media Clerk for implementing plans to increase available reading materials for student at their actual reading level- take home books, Reading Counts reading program - and supervises the site librarian aide

> XIII. National Assessment of Educational Progress

## National Assessment of Educ ational Progress

## Kem Avenue Elementary 2008-09 <br> School Acc ountability Report Card

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a nationa lly representa tive assessment of what Americ a's students know and can do in various subject areas. Assessments are conducted periodic ally in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economic s, geography, and U.S. history. Student scores for reading and mathematics are reported as performance levels (i.e., basic, proficient, and advanced) and the partic ipation of students with disabilities (SD) and English language leamers (ELL) is reported based on three levels (identified, excluded, and assessed). Detailed information regarding the NAEP results for each grade, performance level, and partic ipation rate can be found on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Web page at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportc ard/. Note: Only a sample group of Califomia's schools and districts partic ipate in the NAEP testing cycle. Therefore, students in any partic ular school or district may not be included in these results. The NAEP reflects sta te test results and is not reflective of either the LEA or the individual school. Comparisons of student performance on the NAEP and student performance on the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program assessments cannot be made without an understanding of the key differences between the two a ssessment programs. For example, the NAEP only assesses grades four, eight and twelve and for long-tem trends assesses grades nine, thirteen, a nd seventeen. Additionally, the NAEP only provides state test results forgrades four and eight. The Califomia Standards Tests (CSTs) are based on a different set of standards tha $n$ the NAEP a ssessments. For exa mple, the NAEP is not aligned with C alifomia academic content and achievement standards and, therefore, does not necessa rily reflect the curric ulum and instruction to which students are exposed in the classroom. The NAEP assesses reading and writing separately, while the CSTs a ssess English-langua ge arts (ELA), encompassing reading as well as writing conventions, spelling, and grammar. Scores on the CSTs and other assessments are not directly comparable to those on NAEP. The averages and percentages presented a re estimates based on samples of students rather than on entire populations. Finally, the questions students respond to a re only a sample of the knowledge a nd skills covered by the NAEP frameworks. Information on the differences between NAEP a nd CSTcan be found on the CDE National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/nr/ .

## National Assessment of Educ ational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results by Grade Level - All Students

This table displays the scale scores and achievement levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Results for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for grades four and eight.

|  | Average Scale Score |  | State Percentat Achievement level |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subjectand Grade Level | State | National | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Reading 2007, Grade 4 | 209 | 220 | $30 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Reading 2007, Grade 8 | 251 | 261 | $41 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Mathematics 2009, Grade 4 | 232 | 239 | $41 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Mathematics 2009, Grade 8 | 270 | 282 | $36 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $5 \%$ |

## National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results for Students with Disabilities and/ or English Language Leamers by Grade Level - All Students

This table displays the state and national partic ipation rates on the National Assessment of Educational Progress for rea ding (2007) and mathematics (2009) for students with disa bilities and/or English langua ge lea mers for gra desfour and eight.

|  | State Participation Rate |  | National Participation Rate |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subjectand Grade level | Sudents With <br> Disabilities | English Language <br> leamers | Sudents With <br> Disabilies | English language <br> leamer's |
| Reading 2007, Grade 4 | 74 | 93 | 65 | 80 |
| Reading 2007, Grade 8 | 78 | 92 | 66 | 77 |
| Mathematics 2009, Grade 4 | 79 | 96 | 84 | 94 |
| Mathematics 2009, Grade 8 | 85 | 96 | 78 | 92 |


[^0]:    The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each Califomia public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the Califomia Department of Education (CDE) Web site at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/. For additional information about the school, pa rents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

